

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/506,995	Applicant(s) NUN ET AL.	
	Examiner EDMUND H. LEE	Art Unit 1791	

All Participants:

(1) EDMUND H. LEE.

(2) DAVID SWITZEL.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 4 November 2008

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

THOSE OUTSTANDING IN THE CASE

Claims discussed:

THOSE PENDING IN THE CASE

Prior art documents discussed:

THOSE CITED AS PRIOR ART OF RECORD

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
DISCUSSED CLARIFICATION OF SPECIFICATION LANGUAGE IN ORDER TO PLACE THE INSTANT CASE IN
CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. CHANGES WILL BE MADE BY EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT. CHANGES
INCLUDE INSERTING SECTION HEADINGS AND FIGURE DESCRIPTIONS..